

Tamworth Borough Locality Profile March 2015

Page 100

Locality Profile: March 2015



CONTENTS:

Document Details	Page 3
Contents	Page 3
Introduction & Methodology	Page 4
Priority Measure Methodology	Page 5
Considerations for Commissioning	Page 6
Priority Measures	Page 8
Demographic Overview	Page 11
Great Place to Live	Page 12
Living Well	Page 13
Resilient Communities	Page 14
Best Start	Page 15
Ready for Life	Page 16
Right for Business	Page 17
Appendices	Page 18

If you need a copy of this information in large print, Braille, another language, on cassette or disc, please ask us using the adjacent contact information.

/	DOCUMENT DETAILS	5:
	Title	Tamworth Borough Locality Profile
	Date created	March 2015
	Description	The purpose of the profile is to provide commissioners and practitioners with an evidence base to help understand resident's needs at a local level.
	Produced by	Insight, Planning and Performance Team
L a	Contact details	Tel: 01785 27 8707
đ	Contact details	Email: garry.atkinson@staffordshire.gov.uk
	2	Insight, Planning and Performance Staffordshire County Council
	Geographical coverage	Tamworth Borough
	Copyright and disclaimer	This publication is the copyright of Staffordshire County Council. Staffordshire County Council, while believing the information in this publication to be correct, does not guarantee its accuracy nor does the County Council accept any liability for any direct or indirect loss or damage or other consequences, however arising from the use of such information supplied.

Locality Profile 2015: Introduction & Methodology

Welcome to the 2015 Locality Profile for Tamworth Borough. This profile is one of eight produced for each district in Staffordshire, presenting data across a range of themes at a ward, district and county level.

The profiles contain indicators across seven themes aligned to the strategic priorities of Staffordshire County Council:

- Great place to live
- Living well
- Page **Resilient communities**
- Best start
- ·102 Ready for life
- **Right for business**
- **Enjoying life**

These profiles provide a high-level view of demand and variation at a locality level, including trends over time and identification of priority issues.

They are intended to be used alongside other research produced by the Insight, Planning & Performance Team and local intelligence to enable evidence based commissioning decisions.

The most current data sets available have been used (as at time of writing), however reporting time periods may vary; please see the appendices for full details of data sources.



PRIORITY MEASURES METHODOLOGY

A 'basket' of 50 indicators have been allocated a priority status, which it is intended will provide commissioners and practitioners with a robust understanding of priority issues at a district level. This analysis is based on, and provides a view of performance in the context of local/national comparators and trends over time (dependent on the availability of comparator information). The priority classifications are as follows and detailed in the matrix below:

- **High Priorities** 1.
- **Potential Concerns** 2.
- Lower Priorities Understand Further 3.
- Low Positive Performance 4.

It is intended that these priorities not be targeted in isolation but be indicative of the broader commissioning needs of the population and communities at large.

Phoritisation Matrix اق Lawer Priorities: Where trends suggest an improving situation and lower priorities comparative performance high priorities understand further performance is better than the comparator Low - Positive performance: Where trends suggest an improving low - positive potential concerns situation and performance is performance notably better than the comparator ð worsening

High Priorities: Where trends suggest a worsening situation and performance is notably worse than the comparator

Potential Concerns: Where trends suggest a worsening situation and performance is worse than the comparator

improving

CONSIDERATIONS FOR COMMISSIONING

The Residents of Tamworth Borough Will...

Feel safer, happier and more supported:

- Rates of total recorded crime and anti social behaviour have reduced considerably in Tamworth in recent years. While rates are lower than national rates, both are above the county rates. The rate of total recorded crime is the highest of all eight districts in Staffordshire and is largely attributable to much higher rates recorded in three specific wards (Castle, Glascote, Belgrave). Tamworth has the lowest proportion of residents who state that they feel safe when they go out after dark, however the percentage of adult and juvenile offenders who go on to re-offend shows a reducing, positive trend.
- The rate of Looked after Children is lower than county and national rates, with the exceptions of Mercian Ward and Wilnecote wards. However, the rates of children who are identified as in need (CIN) and of those who are subject to a Child Protection Plan (CPP) are both above county and national rates with particularly high figures in the wards of Glascote, Belgrave and Stonydelph.

Residents of Tamworth are less satisfied with their local area as a place to live when compared to other districts and the overall figure for the county, however the proportion of residents who report feeling happy yesterday shows a declining trend and can therefore be considered a high priority.

Tamworth has is a considerably better proportion of lone pensioner households than the county as well as all of the other districts. The level of fuel poverty in Tamworth varies across each ward and the district figure is similar to national figures. However the trends suggest more people are living in fuel poverty across the borough and this should therefore be considered a potential concern.

Be able to access more good jobs and feel the benefits of economic growth:

- There is a significantly higher percentage of pupils attaining a Good Level of Development at Early Years than national and a higher level than the county figure. Educational attainment levels at Key Stage 2 and Key Stage 4 are lower than the county attainment rates and significantly lower than the national average. Performance at Key Stage 4 is the lowest of all the districts. The wards of Glascote and Stonydelph have particularly low percentages.
- ⇒ The percentage of schools, and pupils attending schools in Tamworth that are rated good or outstanding by Ofsted are below the county average, and trends suggest the proportion is decreasing. This could therefore be considered a high priority for the Borough.
- ⇒ There is a higher proportion of students not in education, employment or training (NEET) when compared to county figures, especially in the wards of Amington and Glascote. The proportion of children who claim free school meals in Tamworth is this

highest in the county, with particularly high figures in the Glascote and Amington wards.

- ⇒ While Tamworth has a lower proportion of older people than county and national levels, the proportion of people aged 60+ living in income deprived households is much worse than most other districts and national rates.
- ⇒ The percentage of working age people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) in Tamworth is lower than the county average, as is youth unemployment. However the proportion of working age people claiming overall out of work benefits is higher than the county average.

Be healthier and more independent:

- Compared to Staffordshire as a whole a considerably smaller proportion of the Tamworth population have a limiting long-term illness, one of only two districts with a proportion that is similar to the national rate. However, despite the fact that Tamworth has the smallest proportion of residents aged 65 and over in the county (a proportion which is significantly lower than national) the percentage of those within this age group who have a limiting long-term illness is higher than at county level and significantly higher than the national figure. This is therefore a high priority for the Borough.
- While statistically better than the national figure, the percentage of children in Tamworth in the most deprived Child Wellbeing
 Index national quintile is over twice that recorded at county level and the second highest across the districts.
- Under 18 conception rates in Tamworth are the highest of all the districts and significantly worse than the national rate. Rates in the wards of Stonydelph, Amington and Glascote are significantly high. The increasing percentage of low birth-weight babies is also a
- high priority for the borough. Breastfeeding rates across Staffordshire as a whole are significantly worse than national rates. In Tamworth rates are improving but are below county and national, with a particularly low rate recorded for the ward of Stonydelph.
- ⇒ The number of alcohol related hospital admissions for residents of Tamworth has been increasing and is above the county average. This could therefore be considered a potential concern.
- ⇒ Mosaic profiling suggests that the proportion of the Tamworth population who are willing to volunteer for a good cause is lower than both county and national figures. This is reinforced when comparing the percentages of the population who have given unpaid help in the last 12 months as the figure for Tamworth is lower than county figures.
- ⇒ The proportion of residents claiming Disability Living Allowance in Tamworth is the second highest of all the districts and significantly higher than the national figure. This is true across all wards with the exceptions of Trinity and Wilnecote.

DEMOGRAPHIC MEASURES

• It is important to consider long-term changes in the population to ensure that commissioning meets the needs of local residents. The population of Tamworth Borough increased to over 77,000 people in 2013 and has increased across all major age groups. The largest increase was experienced in the 65+ age group who now account for 16% of all residents.

Measure	Tamworth	Trend	Status
Total Population	77157	⇒	
Percentage of Population Under 5 Years (%)	6.5%	⇒	
Percentage of Population under 16 Years (%)	20%	⇒	N/A
Percentage of Population of Working Age (16-64 Years) (%)	64%	•	
Percentage of Population aged 65+ Years (%)	16%	⇒	



HIGH PRIORITIES

The majority of measures identified as 'high priority' in Tamworth Borough relate to living well, enjoying life and health, particularly in terms of physical activity, feelings of happiness, disabilities and long term illness. The quality schools and level of attainment also feature as high priorities. It is these measures where the districts relative performance is worse than the comparator performance and trends over time suggest a worsening situation.

/			
Measure	Tamworth	Trend	Status
Percentage of Adults Achieving At Least 150 Minutes of Physical Activity Per Week (%)	48%	ŧ	High Priority
Percentage of Residents Who Report Feeling Happy Yesterday (%)	66%	ŧ	High Priority
Percentage of Pupils Attending Schools Rated As Good Or Outstanding by ofsted	61%	ŧ	High Priority
The Rate of Business Start-ups per 1,000 working-age population	1.9	+	High Priority
Total Number of Employees (aged 16+)	28,700	+	High Priority
Percentage of Population Claiming Disability Living Allowance claimants (%)	6%		High Priority
Percentage of Population under 5 Years (%)	6.5%	⇒	High Priority
Percentage of Low Birth Weight Babies (Less than 2,500g) (%)	8.0%	⇒	High Priority
Percentage of Population with Limiting Long-term Illness (%)	17.9%	⇒	High Priority
Percentage of 65+ Population with Limiting long-term illness (65+) (%)	56%	⇒	High Priority
Percentage of Schools Rated As Good Or Outstanding By ofsted	65%	→	High Priority
Percentage of Pupils Achieving 5+ GCSEs at Grade A* -C Including Maths and English (%)	43%		High Priority

POTENTIAL CONCERNS

1				
	Measure	Tamworth	Trend	Status
	Percentage of Housing Owned (outright, with a mortgage or shared ownership) (%)	69%	+	Potential Concern
	Number of families 'turned around' by the BRFC Programme	130	+	Potential Concern
	Children with Excess Weight (In Reception) (aged 4-5 years) (%)	22.2%	†	Potential Concern
	Alcohol Related Hospital Admissions Per 100,000 Population	1928	†	Potential Concern
	Percentage of the Population Who Rate of Adult Safeguarding Referrals (Resident Postcode)	3.3	†	Potential Concern
	Percentage of Population Living In Fuel poverty (%)	10%	>	Potential Concern
	Percentage of Lone Pensioner Households % of total households	11%	→	Potential Concern

Lower Priorities

Measure	Tamworth	Trend	Status
Rate of Under 18 Conceptions (Rate/1,000)	48.81	⇒	Lower Priority
\mathbf{R} ercentage of Residents Who are Satisfied With Local Area as a Place To Live (%)	93%	⇒	Lower Priority
Rercentage of Housing Socially Rented (%)	19%	⇒	Lower Priority
ercentage of residents who feel that affordable, decent housing most needs improving in their local area (%)	13%	⇒	Lower Priority
Life Expectancy At Birth - Females (Years)	82.86	⇒	Lower Priority
Percentage of Pupils Achieving KS2 Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths (%)	75.2%	⇒	Lower Priority
Percentage of the Population Who Feel safe when go outside in local area after dark (%)	69.1%	⇒	Lower Priority
Breastfeeding Prevalence (At 6-8 weeks) (%)	25.3%		Lower Priority
Percentage of Housing Privately Rented or Living Rent Free %	12%		Lower Priority
Average Point Score Per Subject Entered at Post-16	207.4		Lower Priority
Percentage of the Population Who Have Given Unpaid Help Over The Last 12 Months (%)	15%		Lower Priority
The Percentage of the Population with Level 4 qualifications and above (%)	17.4%		Lower Priority
Mortality From Causes Considered Preventable (Asr/100,000)	193.2	+	Lower Priority
Percentage of the Population with No qualifications (%)	26.8%	+	Lower Priority

Low - Positives

Measure	Tamworth	Trend	Status
Life Expectancy At Birth - Males (Years)	79.18	⇒	Low - Positive
Percentage of Pupils Achieving a Good Level Development - Early Years Foundation Stage (%)	65%		Low - Positive
Percentage of Residents Who Feel The Things They Do In Their Life Are Worthwhile (%)	91%		Low - Positive
Three Year Business Survival Rate (%)	62.5%		Low - Positive
Excess Winter Mortality (%)	5.0%	+	Low - Positive
Percentage of School-age Fixed-term Exclusions (%)	2.2%	+	Low - Positive
Total Recorded Crime (Rate Per 1,000 Residents)	57.2	+	Low - Positive
Antisocial Behaviour (Rate Per 1,000 Residents)	24.8	+	Low - Positive
Percentage of Adult and Juvenile offenders who go on to Re-offend	24%	+	Low - Positive
Rate of Unemployment (16-64 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	0.6	+	Low - Positive
Bate of Youth unemployment (18-24 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	1.3	+	Low - Positive
Rate of Out-of-work benefit claimants (Aged 16-64) (%)	9.1	ŧ	Low - Positive



Imp

- Improving Trend Worsening Trend
- ▲ Increasing Trend
- Decreasing Trend

Nominal Change +/- 5%

Nominal Change +/- 5%

Demographic Overview

This section provides an overview of the demographic profile of Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

	KEY															
		er than National average												e e		
	🔲 Better than National average 🛛 🗌 High	ner than National average	ton	ve	_		e	c		hqla		ote	ц	lshir	đ	
	Worse than National average Sup	pressed/not available/not compared	Amingt	Belgrav	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND	
	Total 2013 Population		7,828	7,805	7,717	7,462	7,992	6,651	7,127	7,795	7,326	9,454	77,157	857,007	56,948,229	
	Total under 5 Population		445	598	559	374	624	308	381	598	417	674	4,978	46,099	3,592,907	
	Total under 16 Population		1,528	1,741	1,523	1,243	1,942	1,146	1,225	1,659	1,283	1,952	15,242	149,370	10,764,403	
	Total Working Age (16-64) Population		5,090	4,968	4,856	4,845	4,997	4,021	4,191	5,380	4,690	6,388	49,426	536,755	36,278,017	
	Total 65+ Population		1,210	1,096	1,338	1,374	1,053	1,484	1,711	756	1,353	1,114	12,489	170,882	9,905,809	
	Population under 5 Years (%)		5.7%	7.7%	7.2%	5.0%	7.8%	4.6%	5.3%	7.7%	5.7%	7.1%	6.5%	5.4%	6.3%	
	Population under 16 Years (%)		19.5%	22.3%	19.7%	16.7%	24.3%	17.2%	17.2%	21.3%	17.5%	20.6%	19.8%	17.4%	18.9%	
	Population Working Age (16-64 Years) (%	%)	65.0%	63.7%	62.9%	64.9%	62.5%	60.5%	58.8%	69.0%	64.0%	67.6%	64.1%	62.6%	63.7%	
	Population 65+ Years (%)		15.5%	14.0%	17.3%	18.4%	13.2%	22.3%	24.0%	9.7%	18.5%	11.8%	16.2%	19.9%	17.4%	
D D	Population Density (people per km2)		1,662	4,065	4,884	1,432	5,224	2,578	1,497	3,898	2,492	2,612	2,501	327	413.5	
ag	Minority ethnic group (%)		5.3%	4.1%	4.0%	6.2%	5.4%	4.4%	5.9%	5.3%	4.0%	5.3%	5.0%	6.4%	20.2%	
Ð	Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2010	D) weighted score	19.0	24.7	20.3	20.7	33.6	17.7	16.6	20.7	9.4	14.3	19.7	16.4	21.5	
2	% in the most deprived IMD national qui	intile (%)	23.4%	17.1%	0.0%	22.9%	48.4%	0.0%	0.0%	23.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13.7%	9.4%	20.4%	
00	Dominant Mosaic Group		Μ	Н	Н	D	Μ	E	E	Μ	E	Н	н	Н	E	

- Overall, Tamworth has a similar under 5 population to national proportions although it is higher than the county average. At ward level there is some variance, with Belgrave, Bolehall, Glascote, Stonydelph and Wilnecote having significantly higher proportions than national average. These wards (with the exception of Bolehall) also have a significantly higher percentage of under 16 year olds compared to the national average and higher levels than the county average.
- A significantly lower percentage of 65 and over age group live in Tamworth compared to the national average, although these levels vary between wards, with particularly low proportions living in Stonydelph and higher proportions living in Spital and Mercian.
- There is a far higher population density when compared to Staffordshire and England and four of the ten wards have a significantly higher percentage of population living in the highest deprivation quintile nationally, namely Amington, Castle, Glascote and Stonydelph.

Great Place to Live

0

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of a Great Place to Live in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

КЕҮ													
Similar to National average 🛛 🔲 Lower than National average													
Better than National average								<u>ح</u>				iire	
Worse than National average 🔲 Suppressed/not available/not compared	Amington	Belgrave	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
Satisfied with local area as place to live (%)	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	92.8%	94.1%	-
Housing owner-occupied (%)	71.9%	69.3%	67.3%	54.1%	54.6%	72.2%	68.8%	68.7%	85.2%	76.4%	68.7%	72.8%	64.1%
Housing privately rented (%)	8.6%	9.7%	12.1%	20.6%	8.2%	7.8%	13.6%	8.3%	9.2%	10.4%	11.0%	11.3%	16.8%
dousing social housing (%)	18.6%	20.4%	19.4%	23.7%	36.4%	19.0%	16.4%	22.3%	4.9%	12.4%	19.3%	14.7%	17.7%
werage National Broadband Speed by Dominant Mosaic Group	15.1	13.2	13.2	13.3	15.1	13.4	13.4	15.1	13.4	13.2	13.2	13.2	-
e 4													

- Overall the residents of Tamworth are less satisfied with their local area as a place to live when compared to the overall figure for the county, this is also the lowest of all the districts in Staffordshire. There is a significantly higher proportion of owner-occupied and social housing and a significantly lower proportion of privately rented housing in Tamworth when compared with national proportions. Castle and Glascote have lower levels of owner-occupied housing and the highest proportions of social housing in the Borough while Trinity has the highest levels of owner-occupied and the smallest proportion of social housing.
- As detailed in the Demographics Overview, each ward has a dominant Mosaic group. Each of these groups are attributed an average broadband speed which is calculated nationally and within Tamworth these broadband speeds are all in line or above the county average.

Living Well

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of Living Well in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

KEY													
Similar to National average	ç							h		e	<u> </u>	hire	0
Better than National average Higher than National average	gtoi	ave	all	0	ote	an		dell	>	cot	mworth	rds	ANI
Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Amington	Belgrave	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamw	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
Population 75+ Years (%)	5.0%	4.9%	7.0%	8.9%	4.0%	9.9%	11.8%	3.5%	6.8%	4.3%	6.5%	8.6%	7.9%
Population 85+ Years (%)	0.9%	1.1%	1.8%	2.4%	0.9%	2.5%	3.7%	0.9%	1.6%	1.1%	1.6%	2.3%	2.3%
Provision of population providing unpaid care (%)	11.2%	10.0%	10.5%	9.2%	10.8%	11.7%	11.3%	10.1%	11.2%	10.0%	10.6%	11.6%	10.2%
Mortality from causes considered preventable (ASR/100,000)	180.0	149.1	230.1	253.9	240.8	187.3	189.0	222.1	126.1	188.3	193.2	179.2	183.9
Limiting long-term illness (%)	17.6%	17.5%	18.9%	19.5%	19.0%	21.6%	22.1%	14.8%	15.6%	14.1%	17.9%	19.2%	17.6%
Limiting long-term illness (65+) (%)	51.9%	56.2%	55.8%	61.7%	55.0%	57.0%	53.2%	58.9%	51.6%	57.9%	55.8%	52.6%	51.5%
Disability Living Allowance claimants (%)	6.8%	7.0%	6.1%	6.5%	8.4%	6.2%	5.8%	6.0%	4.2%	4.4%	6.1%	5.1%	5.0%
Lone pensioner households (%)	9.1%	8.4%	13.0%	15.9%	8.8%	14.0%	16.2%	6.2%	10.2%	7.0%	10.9%	12.6%	12.4%
လ်တြီး Ider people aged 60 and over living in income-deprived households ထူး	19.9%	20.0%	25.4%	27.3%	26.0%	20.0%	16.6%	26.0%	13.8%	16.2%	20.8%	15.0%	18.0%
Fuel poverty (%)	8.6%	11.9%	12.9%	9.8%	12.1%	9.4%	12.4%	7.1%	7.3%	9.3%	10.1%	12.2%	10.4%
Excess winter mortality (%)	-7.7%	-10.0%	7.1%	-12.9%	13.4%	20.8%	3.6%	16.9%	25.2%	15.3%	5.0%	18.6%	18.6%
-the expectancy at birth - males (Years)	79.6	77.6	78.1	77.2	80.6	78.2	78.7	79.4	81.9	81.6	79.2	79.3	79.1
Life expectancy at birth - females (Years)	83.4	79.8	85.9	83.6	83.3	84.3	79.6	83.8	86.8	83.2	82.9	83.0	83.0

- There are a significantly lower proportion of residents aged 75+ and 85+ in Tamworth when compared to England. This is true across all wards with the exception of Castle, Mercian and Spital. There is also a significantly higher proportion of the 65+ population with a limiting long-term illness and Tamworth has a significantly higher proportion of the across all wards except Trinity and Wilnecote.
- The proportion of older people aged 60 and over living in income-deprived households across Tamworth is much worse than the national and county averages, Trinity is the only ward in Tamworth where proportions are much better than the national average. Life expectancy in the district is similar to the England average for both males and females. Females in Belgrave and Spital wards have a significantly lower life expectancy when compared nationally. Both genders in Trinity have a significantly higher life expectancy.

Resilient Communities

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of Resilient Communities in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

Similar to National average 🛛 🔲 Lower than National average											I	Ð	
Better than National average 🛛 🗌 Higher than National average	<u>ر</u>							Чc		е	t	hire	0
Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compar	Amingto	Belgrave	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamwort	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
ling to volunteer for a good cause (Dominant Group) (%)	45.8	48.2	45.2	53.3	43.4	45.2	49.5	48.2	45.2	48.2	48.2	49.5	49.6
al recorded crime (Rate/1,000)	38.7	52.8	44.3	174.5	56.7	31.3	37.0	43.4	21.0	38.0	57.2	44.4	65.3
tisocial behaviour rates (Rate/1,000)	21.3	29.6	19.3	45.3	35.5	17.6	20.2	24.4	12.4	20.4	24.8	23.3	37.6
te of Adult Safeguarding Referals (Resident Postcode)	2.7	6.1	3.9	2.4	2.5	5.4	6.1	2.0	1.0	1.5	3.3	3.4	-
te per 1,000 Child Protection Plan	5.1	9.7	3.5	5.8	12.3	6.1	6.5	9.5	S	6.8	7.1	3.9	4.2
te per 1,000 Looked After Children (LAC) home ward	S	3.6	4.1	S	3.6	9.9	S	3.7	3.5	6.4	4.1	5.6	6.0
te per 1,000 Children in Need	81.4	121.0	80.7	56.6	128.2	82.9	78.4	111.6	46.9	48.2	85.9	68.5	68.5
ve given unpaid help over the last 12 months (%)	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	15.3%	17.4%	-
el safe when go outside in local area after dark (%)	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	69.1%	75.5%	

- With the exception of Castle ward, the district has a lower percentage of people who may be willing to volunteer for a good cause, compared to both Staffordshire and England. Castle is the only ward significantly above the national average for all recorded crime and for antisocial behaviour, the district rate is above the county average, but below the national in both measures. The town centre ward of Castle has a substantially higher rate of recorded crime compared to the district, county and national rates and overall residents in Tamworth feel less safe when going outside after dark compared to the Staffordshire average.
- There is a worse rate in Tamworth of children being on a Child Protection Plan or being classed as a Child in Need, although the rate of Looked After Children in the district is significantly better than the national average.
- A lower proportion of Tamworth residents have given unpaid help over the past 12 months when compared to the county.

Best Start

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of Best Start in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Higher than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Amington	Belgrave	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
Population under 5 Years (%)	5.7%	7.7%	7.2%	5.0%	7.8%	4.6%	5.3%	7.7%	5.7%	7.1%	6.5%	5.4%	6.3%
Child Wellbeing Index (CWI) 2009 weighted score	138.4	191.5	156.4	128.5	269.1	112.8	112.6	165.7	93.1	107.9	150.2	114.3	159.3
% in the most deprived CWI national quintile (%)	29.4%	20.9%	0.0%	0.0%	77.9%	0.0%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%	18.4%	7.8%	24.4%
Under 18 conceptions (Rate/1,000)	77.8	44.6	24.9	42.1	72.1	40.1	35.4	81.9	25.8	25.4	48.8	31.9	30.9
Low birth weight babies (Less than 2,500g) (%)	8.8%	8.3%	6.6%	6.1%	10.6%	13.4%	6.7%	7.9%	5.5%	7.1%	8.0%	7.3%	7.4%
Breastfeeding prevalence (6-8 weeks) (%)	22.2%	26.6%	28.9%	35.8%	20.7%	20.8%	27.6%	11.1%	28.6%	22.2%	23.9%	32.7%	47.1%
Excess weight (Reception) (aged 4-5 years) (%)	17.4%	24.2%	22.4%	19.5%	22.4%	19.0%	22.7%	25.7%	25.0%	21.7%	22.2%	23.4%	22.5%
ood Level Development - Early Years Foundation Stage (%)	61.0%	64.0%	68.8%	61.4%	64.1%	59.7%	61.1%	66.7%	67.1%	71.2%	65.1%	64.2%	60.0%

13

• There is a significantly lower proportion of children in Tamworth in the most deprived national quintile for the Child Wellbeing Index, although 3 wards, Amington, Glascote and Stonydelph are significantly higher with Glascote being over 50% higher than the England average.

- There is a significantly higher under 18 conception rate in the district, most notably in Amington, Glascote and Stonydelph. Breastfeeding prevalence is significantly lower across the district and all wards in Tamworth when compared to England, particularly in Stoneydelph ward.
- The percentage of children attaining a Good Level of Development in Early Years is significantly higher than nationally, this is predominately due to Wilnecote ward. The percentage of reception age pupils with excess weight is similar to the national average, with the exception of Amington which is significantly better.

Ready for Life

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of Ready for Life in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

/	KEY													
	Similar to National average Lower than National average												e	
	🔲 Better than National average 🛛 🔲 Higher than National average	5	a	_		a	~		hd		te	orth	shi	QN
	Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Amingto	Belgrav	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamwoi	Staffordshire	ENGLAN
	Total school absence (%)	5.0%	4.6%	4.3%	4.2%	4.6%	4.0%	4.5%	4.7%	3.7%	4.3%	4.4%	4.5%	-
	Total school unauthorised absence (%)	1.0%	0.7%	0.3%	0.6%	0.9%	0.8%	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%	0.4%	0.6%	0.6%	-
	School age fixed term exclusion (%)	0.5%	4.2%	0.3%	0.2%	5.8%	2.7%	1.4%	1.4%	0.6%	1.9%	2.2%	2.6%	-
	KS2 Level 4+ Reading, Writing and Maths (%)	73.6%	73.7%	70.5%	79.1%	69.7%	85.7%	76.1%	68.5%	72.6%	70.7%	75.2%	76.7%	79.0%
	5 GCSEs (A* -C) including Maths and English (%)	34.3%	28.7%	42.6%	52.3%	31.0%	55.6%	58.2%	33.3%	47.1%	45.0%	43.0%	54.9%	53.4%
\$	ပ္ သူoung people not in education, employment or training (16-19) (%) ဝ	8.6%	6.5%	4.2%	2.9%	8.7%	3.2%	2.6%	7.3%	1.4%	2.4%	4.5%	4.0%	-
	🗘 xcess weight (Year 6) (aged 10-11 years) (%)	33.1%	38.4%	31.0%	32.3%	33.3%	30.2%	32.0%	33.5%	32.8%	29.2%	32.7%	33.9%	33.5%
(.	<u></u> hildren who claim free school meals (%)	15.3%	17.8%	16.3%	9.4%	30.7%	11.9%	14.7%	17.4%	4.3%	11.1%	15.5%	11.8%	16.3%
1														

- The percentage of pupil absence including unauthorised absence is similar in Tamworth to the county average. The percentage of fixed term exclusions is lower in the district compared to the county average. At ward level there are some considerable differences with percentages in Belgrave and Glascote almost double that at county level while several other wards have much lower levels under 1%.
- The percentage of pupils attaining Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2 is significantly lower than the national average, particularly in Glascote, Stonydelph and Wilnecote. The percentage of pupils attaining 5+ A*-C GSCE's or equivalent including English and Maths is significantly lower than the national average, particularly in Amington, Belgrave, Glascote and Stonydelph.
- There is a higher proportion of young people not in education, employment or training (NEET) in Tamworth compared to Staffordshire, with high proportions in Amington and Stonydelph. There is a significantly lower percentage of pupils claiming free school meals in Tamworth, when compared with national figures, although there is a significantly higher proportion claiming in Glascote ward.

Right for Business

2

This section provides an overview of the key indicators of Ready for Business in Tamworth Borough at ward level, and makes comparisons to the National average.

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Amington	Belgrave	Bolehall	Castle	Glascote	Mercian	Spital	Stonydelph	Trinity	Wilnecote	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
Total Employees (aged 16+)	3,500	900	700	9,000	400	7,000	1,600	1,700	600	3,400	28,700	315,100	23,631,900
Rate of Business Start-ups per 1,000 working-age population	1.4	1.8	0.6	3.1	2.2	2.0	1.9	0.9	2.7	2.3	1.9	2.4	-
Unemployment (16-64 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	0.5%	0.7%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%	0.7%	0.4%	0.5%	0.6%	0.9%	1.9%
Youth unemployment (18-24 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	1.4%	1.5%	1.5%	0.8%	2.4%	S	1.0%	2.1%	S	1.2%	1.3%	1.8%	2.7%
Out-of-work benefit claimants (16-64) %	10.1%	10.4%	9.3%	9.3%	14.1%	9.5%	9.2%	9.5%	4.2%	6.2%	9.1%	8.0%	9.8%
v qualifications (%)	26.1%	29.4%	29.3%	27.5%	31.1%	30.3%	28.2%	23.3%	22.7%	21.7%	26.8%	24.8%	22.7%
evel 4 qualifications and above (%)	18.3%	13.2%	16.1%	20.6%	11.3%	16.6%	20.1%	17.3%	20.0%	19.9%	17.4%	24.0%	27.2%

The town centre ward of Castle has the highest number of employees in Tamworth. There is a lower rate of business start-ups in Tamworth, although Castle and Trinity wards are both above the county rate. The percentage of working age people claiming Job Seekers Allowance (JSA) in Tamworth and across all the wards is significantly lower than the England average. Youth unemployment is also significantly below the England average. Out of work benefit claimants in Tamworth are significantly lower than the England average, with the exception of Glascote ward.

• The percentage of residents in Tamworth with no qualifications is worse than the England average, the percentage of residents qualified to Level 4 (HNC or equivalent) or above is also worse than the England average.

Demographics

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Higher than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Ne wcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND	
Total 2013 Population	98,119	114,922		125,239				77,157	857,007		
Total under 5 Population	5,785	7,298	5,240	6,396	4,932	6,782	4,688	4,978	46,099	3,592,907	
Total under 16 Population	18,033	22,051	17,555	20,956	17,458	22,198	15,877	15,242	149,370	10,764,403	
Total Working Age (16-64) Population	63,063	72,225	61,699	80,053	68,412	82,575	59,302	49,426	536,755	36,278,017	
Total 65+ Population	17,023	20,646	22,514	24,230	24,425	27,319	22,236	12,489	170,882	9,905,809	
Population under 5 Years (%)	5.9%	6.4%	5.1%	5.1%	4.5%	5.1%	4.8%	6.5%	5.4%	6.3%	
Population under 16 Years (%)	18.4%	19.2%	17.3%	16.7%	15.8%	16.8%	16.3%	19.8%	17.4%	18.9%	
Population Working Age (16-64 Years) (%)	64.3%	62.8%	60.6%	63.9%	62.0%	62.5%	60.9%	64.1%	62.6%	63.7%	
Population Working Age (16-64 Years) (%) Population 65+ Years (%) Population Density (people per km2)	17.3%	18.0%	22.1%	19.3%	22.1%	20.7%	22.8%	16.2%	19.9%	17.4%	
Population Density (people per km2)	1,244	297	307	594	271	221	169	2,501	327	413.5	
Minority ethnic group (%)	3.5%	13.8%	5.4%	6.7%	5.4%	7.4%	2.5%	5.0%	6.4%	20.2%	
Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2010) weighted score	20.6	19.1	12.7	18.9	11.9	13.1	16.0	19.7	16.4	21.5	
% in the most deprived IMD national quintile (%)	11.7%	20.4%	3.7%	15.0%	0.0%	6.0%	4.5%	13.7%	9.4%	20.4%	
Dominant Mosaic Group	н	L	В	F	В	Α	Α	н	н	E	

Great Place to Live

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Higher than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Newcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
Satisfied with local area as place to live (%)	93.9%	93.4%	94.3%	94.0%	95.7%	94.2%	94.6%	92.8%	94.1%	-
Housing owner-occupied (%)	69.7%	70.1%	76.2%	69.5%	76.3%	72.1%	80.0%	68.7%	72.8%	64.1%
Housing privately rented (%)	12.1%	15.1%	9.5%	10.5%	8.5%	12.9%	9.8%	11.0%	11.3%	16.8%
Housing social housing (%)	16.9%	13.5%	13.2%	18.7%	13.9%	13.7%	8.9%	19.3%	14.7%	17.7%
Average National Broadband Speed by Dominant Mosaic Group	13.2	15.4	12.9	13.2	12.9	5.0	5.0	13.2	13.2	-

Best Start

🔲 Be	milar to National average Lower than National average etter than National average Higher than National average forse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Newcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
	Population under 5 Years (%)	5.9%	6.4%	5.1%	5.1%	4.5%	5.1%	4.8%	6.5%	5.4%	6.3%
	Child Wellbeing Index (CWI) 2009 weighted score	142.6	132.8	92.2	114.0	81.2	111.4	88.2	150.2	114.3	159.3
	% in the most deprived CWI national quintile (%)	5.2%	19.4%	0.0%	7.8%	2.1%	7.7%	0.0%	18.4%	7.8%	24.4%
	Under 18 conceptions (Rate/1,000)	39.7	31.3	31.3	29.7	21.8	28.8	28.7	48.8	31.9	30.9
	Low birth weight babies (Less than 2,500g) (%)	7.2%	8.3%	8.2%	7.7%	5.8%	6.3%	6.7%	8.0%	7.3%	7.4%
	Breastfeeding prevalence (6-8 weeks) (%)	23.3%	30.8%	35.3%	35.3%	33.9%	36.2%	43.6%	23.9%	32.7%	47.1%
P	Excess weight (Reception) (aged 4-5 years) (%)	26.8%	22.8%	22.7%	22.1%	24.4%	21.8%	24.7%	22.2%	23.4%	22.5%
ag	Good Level Development - Early Years Foundation Stage (%)	64.2%	58.7%	64.5%	60.8%	70.8%	70.3%	61.0%	65.1%	64.2%	60.0%

Ready for Life

🔲 Bet	ilar to National average Lower than National average ter than National average Higher than National average rse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Newcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND	-
	Total school absence (%)	4.8%	4.5%	4.2%	4.7%	4.7%	4.5%	4.5%	4.4%	4.5%	-	
	Total school unauthorised absence (%)	0.9%	0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.5%	0.3%	0.6%	0.6%	-	
	School age fixed term exclusion (%)	3.3%	2.9%	2.1%	3.7%	3.0%	2.3%	1.2%	2.2%	2.6%	-	_
	KS2 Level 4+ Reading, Writing and Maths (%)	76.8%	71.6%	80.2%	79.3%	76.0%	78.2%	77.4%	75.2%	76.7%	79.0%	
	5 GCSEs (A* -C) including Maths and English (%)	46.5%	58.9%	62.8%	50.8%	58.2%	58.8%	57.8%	43.0%	54.9%	53.4%	
	Young people not in education, employment or training (16-19) (%)	5.5%	3.8%	3.3%	5.3%	3.5%	3.5%	2.5%	4.5%	4.0%	-	
	Excess weight (Year 6) (aged 10-11 years) (%)	36.6%	33.3%	31.3%	35.5%	35.6%	31.7%	34.3%	32.7%	33.9%	33.5%	
	Children who claim free school meals (%)	14.5%	12.1%	9.2%	15.1%	8.9%	9.8%	9.5%	15.5%	11.8%	16.3%	

Living Well

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Higher than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Newcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND	
Population 75+ Years (%)	7.4%	8.1%	9.1%	8.7%	9.6%	9.1%	9.8%	6.5%	8.6%	7.9%	
Population 85+ Years (%)	2.0%	2.2%	2.5%	2.4%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	1.6%	2.3%	2.3%	
Provision of population providing unpaid care (%)	12.1%	10.1%	11.5%	11.9%	12.5%	11.5%	12.9%	10.6%	11.6%	10.2%	
Mortality from causes considered preventable (ASR/100,000)	201.5	191.3	171.4	196.2	162.6	158.4	173.9	193.2	179.2	183.9	
Limiting long-term illness (%)	20.7%	17.7%	18.1%	20.8%	18.7%	18.2%	21.1%	17.9%	19.2%	17.6%	
Limiting long-term illness (65+) (%)	60.9%	51.4%	48.2%	57.4%	49.4%	48.5%	53.3%	55.8%	52.6%	51.5%	
Disability Living Allowance claimants (%)	6.6%	4.3%	4.5%	5.8%	4.4%	4.3%	5.1%	6.1%	5.1%	5.0%	
Lone pensioner households (%)	11.4%	12.4%	12.2%	13.5%	13.3%	12.8%	13.5%	10.9%	12.6%	12.4%	
Older people aged 60 and over living in income-deprived households (%) Fuel poverty (%) Expression intermentation (%)	20.8%	14.7%	12.8%	15.7%	14.7%	11.4%	13.8%	20.8%	15.0%	18.0%	
Fuel poverty (%)	11.1%	14.6%	10.9%	13.4%	10.5%	12.4%	13.5%	10.1%	12.2%	10.4%	
Excess winter mortality (%)	10.2%	15.7%	23.3%	22.7%	19.9%	22.1%	21.5%	5.0%	18.6%	18.6%	
Life expectancy at birth - males (Years)	78.9	78.5	79.6	78.4	79.8	80.2	79.3	79.2	79.3	79.1	
Life expectancy at birth - females (Years)	82.8	82.9	83.0	82.2	83.2	83.6	83.1	82.9	83.0	83.0	

Resilient Communities

(KEY Similar to National average Lower than National average				yme			Moorlands			
	Better than National average		hire			hire		loor			
	Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordsh	Lichfield	Newcastle-under	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire N	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND
	Willing to volunteer for a good cause (Dominant Group) (%)	45.8	45.2	55.7	45.8	55.7	55.7	49.5	48.2	49.5	49.6
	Total recorded crime (Rate/1,000)	49.4	47.4	35.6	51.9	36.0	41.8	38.0	57.2	44.4	65.3
	Antisocial behaviour rates (Rate/1,000)	28.4	24.7	18.6	30.2	17.1	22.6	19.5	24.8	23.3	37.6
	Rate of Adult Safeguarding Referals (Resident Postcode)	3.2	2.9	3.1	4.0	3.9	3.6	2.9	3.3	3.4	-
	Rate per 1,000 Child Protection Plan	8.0	3.2	2.3	4.7	1.2	2.9	2.5	7.1	3.9	4.2
	Rate per 1,000 Looked After Children (LAC) home ward	6.2	3.8	4.1	6.9	2.0	5.7	4.4	4.1	5.6	6.0
	Rate per 1,000 Children in Need	77.8	80.7	53.0	62.5	45.3	65.7	39.2	85.9	68.5	68.5
	Have given unpaid help over the last 12 months (%)	10.3%	18.1%	18.3%	13.7%	16.5%	23.2%	22.2%	15.3%	17.4%	-
	Feel safe when go outside in local area after dark (%)	73.5%	72.8%	76.4%	77.3%	77.4%	79.0%	77.3%	69.1%	75.5%	-
<u> </u>											

Right for Business

KEY Similar to National average Better than National average Higher than National average Worse than National average Suppressed/not available/not compared	Cannock Chase	East Staffordshire	Lichfield	Newcastle-under-Lyme	South Staffordshire	Stafford	Staffordshire Moorlands	Tamworth	Staffordshire	ENGLAND	
Total Employees (aged 16+)	34,300	55,100	41,000	43,800	28,600	55,800	27,800	28,700	315,100	23,631,900	
Rate of Business Start-ups per 1,000 working-age population	2.0	2.6	2.7	2.2	2.4	2.7	2.2	1.9	2.4	-	
Unemployment (16-64 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	1.2%	1.0%	0.7%	1.1%	1.2%	0.8%	0.8%	0.6%	0.9%	1.9%	
Youth unemployment (18-24 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	2.4%	1.8%	1.5%	1.1%	2.2%	1.5%	1.8%	1.3%	1.8%	2.7%	
Out-of-work benefit claimants (16-64) %	9.9%	8.1%	6.8%	9.5%	6.3%	6.8%	7.4%	9.1%	8.0%	9.8%	
No qualifications (%)	28.2%	24.7%	22.4%	26.8%	24.0%	20.4%	26.6%	26.8%	24.8%	22.7%	
Level 4 qualifications and above (%)	17.2%	23.4%	28.4%	22.5%	25.1%	30.3%	23.7%	17.4%	24.0%	27.2%	

Appendix B: Mosaic Groups

- A Residents of isolated rural communities
- B Residents of small and mid-sized towns with strong local roots
- C Wealthy people living in the most sought after neighbourhoods
- D Successful professionals living in suburban or semi-rural homes
- E Middle income families living in moderate suburban semis
- F Couples with young children in comfortable modern housing
- G Young, well-educated city dwellers
- H Couples and young singles in small modern starter homes
- I Lower income families living in urban terraces in often diverse areas
- J Owner occupiers in older-style housing in ex-industrial areas
- K Residents with sufficient incomes in right-to-buy social housing
- L Active elderly people living in pleasant retirement locations
- M Elderly people reliant on state support
- N Young people renting flats in high density social housing
- O Families in low-rise social housing with high levels of benefit need

APPENDIX C: METADATA

Indicator	Source	Date
Total 2013 Population	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Total under 5 Population	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Total under 16 Population	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Total Working Age (16-64) Population	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Total 65+ Population	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Population under 5 Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Population under 16 Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Population Working Age (16-64 Years) (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Population 65+ Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Population Density (people per km2)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2013
Minority ethnic group (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Index of Multiple Deprivation (IMD 2010) weighted score	Office for National Statistics	2010
% 🙀 the most deprived IMD national quintile (%)	Office for National Statistics	2010
Dominant Mosaic Group	Mosaic Public Sector Profiler	2014
Satisfied with local area as place to live (%)	Feeling the Difference	Mar 2008 - Sept 2014
Hausing owner-occupied (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
H 🛱 Sing privately rented (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Housing social housing (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Average National Broadband Speed by Dominant Mosaic Group	Mosaic Public Sector Profiler	2014
Population 75+ Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2012
Population 85+ Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2012
Provision of population providing unpaid care (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Mortality from causes considered preventable (ASR/100,000)	Public Health England	2009-2013
Limiting long-term illness (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Limiting long-term illness (65+) (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Disability Living Allowance claimants (%)	NOMIS, Office for National Statistics	May-14
Lone pensioner households (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Older people aged 60 and over living in income-deprived households (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Fuel poverty (%)	Department for Energy and Climate Change	2012
Excess winter mortality (%)	Office for National Statistics	August 2008 to July 2013
Life expectancy at birth - males (Years)	Office for National Statistics	2009-2013
Life expectancy at birth - females (Years)	Office for National Statistics	2009-2013

APPENDIX C: METADATA

Indicator	Source	Date
Willing to volunteer for a good cause (Dominant Group) (%)	Mosaic Public Sector Profiler	2010
Total recorded crime (Rate/1,000)	Staffordshire Police	2013/14
Antisocial behaviour rates (Rate/1,000)	Staffordshire Police	2013/14
Rate of Adult Safeguarding Referals (Resident Postcode)	Staffordshire County Council (SAR)	Oct 2013-Jan 2015
Rate per 1,000 Child Protection Plan	Families First	2013/14
Rate per 1,000 Looked After Children (LAC) home ward	Families First	2013/14
Rate per 1,000 Children in Need	Families First	2013/14
Have given unpaid help over the last 12 months (%)	Feeling the Difference	Mar 2008 - Sept 2014
Feel safe when go outside in local area after dark (%)	Feeling the Difference	Mar 2008 - Sept 2014
Population under 5 Years (%)	Office for National Statistics mid-year population estimates	2012
Child Wellbeing Index (CWI) 2009 weighted score	Office for National Statistics	2009
% in the most deprived CWI national quintile (%)	Office for National Statistics	2009
Under 18 conceptions (Rate/1,000)	Office for National Statistics	2010-2012
Lowh birth weight babies (Less than 2,500g) (%)	Office for National Statistics	2011-2013
Be astfeeding prevalence (6-8 weeks) (%)	Public Health Intelligence	2012/13
Expess weight (Reception) (aged 4-5 years) (%)	National Child Measurement Programme	2010/11 to 2012/13
Good Level Development - Early Years Foundation Stage (%)	KEYPAS / Jan School Census	2014
Total school absence (%)	Jan, May and Oct School Census	2014
Total school unauthorised absence (%)	Jan, May and Oct School Census	2014
School age fixed term exclusion (%)	Jan, May and Oct School Census	2014
KS2 Level 4+ Reading, Writing and Maths (%)	KEYPAS / Jan School Census	2014
5 GCSEs (A* -C) including Maths and English (%)	School Performance tables / Jan School Census	2014
Young people not in education, employment or training (16-19) (%)	Skills and Further Learning, Aspire Database	Dec-14
Excess weight (Year 6) (aged 10-11 years) (%)	National Child Measurement Programme	2010/11 to 2012/13
Children who claim free school meals (%)	Oct 2014 School Census - National from Jan 2014 Census	2014
Total Employees (aged 16+)	Office for National Statistics - Nomis	2013
Rate of Business Start-ups per 1,000 working-age population	BankSearch Information Consultancy Ltd	Dec-14
Unemployment (16-64 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	Office for National Statistics - Nomis	Dec-14
Youth unemployment (18-24 year olds claiming jobseekers allowance) (%)	Office for National Statistics - Nomis	Dec-14
Out-of-work benefit claimants (16-64) %	Office for National Statistics - Nomis	May-14
No qualifications (%)	2011 Population Census	2011
Level 4 qualifications and above (%)	2011 Population Census	2011

This page is intentionally left blank